OCASO Project Tsunami Arrival Detection with High Frequency (HF) Radar Technology

VÁNIA LIMA & CFR SANTOS FERNANDES Oceanography Division – Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha





OCASO Project

OCASO : Observatório Costeiro Ambiental do SudOeste

Project Manager: Instituto Hidrográfico (IH)

Duration: 2017 - 2020

Goals:

- Establish a transboundary oceanographic observatory along the Southern region, taking advantage of the existing monitoring network.
- Monitorize and detect tsunamigenic activity, aiming to provide continuous information for the activities developped in the area, which are relevant for both countries' economies.

National HF Radar Network

5 HF RADARS / IH

- São Julião
- Cabo Espichel
- Sagres (SGTR)
- Alfanzina
- Monte Gordo





"Radares de alta frecuencia en el Observatorio RAIA"

=

1

What is RADAR ON RAIA?

Title: High frequency radars in the RAIA Observatory **Programme:** Interreg V-A Spain-Portugal (POCTEP) 2014-2020 programme

Priority: Priority axis 1 "Intelligent growth through cross-border cooperation for the promotion of Innovation"

Total budget: 1.438.471,95€; FEDER grant: 1.078.853,96€

Duration: From 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2021

Background: Oceanic Observatory of the Iberian Margin (RAIA)

- Improve coastal observation in terms of meteo-oceanographic and water quality data.
- Provide high-resolution operational models (hydrodynamic and biogeochemical).
- > Develop new technologies for ocean observation.
- Establish a common platform for management and distribution of data and products.
- > Develop and implement a wide range of products and services for the end users.
- Provide data to the main European initiatives: Copernicus, EMODnet and SeaDataNet.

HF Radar

- Measurement of ocean surface currents and waves
- f = 3 30 MHz and $\lambda = 10 100$ m
- Distances offshore 100 200 km w/ resolution 3 12 km
- Precision: 10 cm/s current velocity and 10° current direction

Fig. 1: Electromagnetic spectrum showing the HF band relative to other radio wave bands and the broader spectrum.

(Paduan & Graber 1997)

Vânia Lima – Oceanography Division - Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha

04-11-2019 - Radar : Trade

Tohoku Earthquake & Tsunami 11th Mar 2011

Figure 6. The location of the radars A087, A088, the tide gauge at Hakodate, and the offshore bathymetry

(a) RADARS RADARS RADARS

Figure 1. (a) The North Pacific Ocean showing the location of the radars that detected the tsunami. Abbreviated radar field names are as follows; corresponding geographic locations are given in Section 1. Japan: A087 and A088. USA: STV2, SEA1, YHS2, TRIN, GCVE, BML1, PREY, COMM, ESTR, and LUIS. (b) The location of the Japan earthquake and the radars in Hokkaido. (c) The bathymetry offshore from the radars and radial velocities measured by the Kinaoshi radar, March 11, 2011, 21:00 JST.

(Coastal Tsunami Warning with Deployed HF radar systems, by Lipa et al 2016)

Vânia Lima – Oceanography Division - Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha

Figure 8. Locations of radars and tide gauges in California and Oregon. The offshore bathymetry indicates a narrow offshore continental shelf.

Figure 9. Perpendicular band velocities and derived q-factors from YHS2. (a) Distance from radar: 2-4 km (blue) 4-6 km (red), and 6-8 km (black). (b) The q-factor for range 2-8 km. (c) Distance from radar: 8-10 km (blue), 10-12 km (red), and 12-14 km (black). (d) The q-factor for range 8-14 km.

Figure 7. Perpendicular band velocities from A088 and derived q-factors. (a) Distance from radar: 0-2 km (blue), 2-4 km (red), and 4-6 km (black), (b) The q-factor for range 0-6 km. (c) Distance from radar: 6-8 km (blue), 8-10 km (red), and 10-12 km (black), (d) The q-factor for range (2-4 km.

National HF Radar Network

5 HF RADARS / IH

Observing and reporting

- São Julião
- Cabo Espichel
- Sagres (SGTR)
- Alfanzina
- Monte Gordo

(The only) 1 HF Radar w/tsunami detection module

HF Radars NJ Coast, EUA

4 HF Radars w/ CODAR tsunami detection module installed:

- BRAD ۲
- LOVE
- BRNT
- BRMR •

08/08/17

(Evaluation of the CODAR tsunami detection algorithm and software, by Roarty et al 2019)

Figure 1: The coast of New Jersey showing the location of four HF radar stations (red triangle) used in the experiment. Location of NDBC stations 44025, 44091 and ACYN4 are also shown

Meteotsunami New Jersey 13th June 2013

Figure 12. The rad ar stations at Brant Beach (BRNT), Brigantine (BRMR), and Belmar (BELM); the NOAA tide gauges

at Sand y Hook (tide gauge 1) and Atlantic City (tide gauge 2) and the offshore bathymetry contours, with depths in

meters.

Figure 13. NOA A tide gauge observations June 13, 2013 at Atlantic City, NJ.

Figure 14. The meteotsunami arrival observed by radars BRNT and BRMR: band velocity components and the corresponding q-factors plotted against hours from 00:00 June 13, 2013. BRNT: (a) 6–8 km (blue), 8–10 km (red), (b) 10–12 km (black),12–14 km (green), (c) Corresponding q-factors. BRMR: (d) 2–4 km (blue), 6–8 km (red), (e) 14–16 km (black), 20– 22 km (green), (f) Corresponding q-factors.

(Coastal Tsunami Warning with Deployed HF radar systems, by Lipa et al 2016)

CODAR Seasonde Radar & Software

So how is tsunami detection being performed?

CODAR Seasonde Radar & Software

- Detects oncoming tsunami 41°N MAB NDBC & 13 MHz SeaSonde Stations wave through its orbital velocity
- In SW, H increases but orbital velocities increase 40°Nmuch faster
- Observed tsunami waves near field 2-50 km from coast
- Tsunami signal extracted from background current and noise by means of a qfactor PRA.

Figure 1: The coast of New Jersey showing the location of four HF radar stations (red triangle) used in the experiment. Location of NDBC stations 44025, 44091 and ACYN4 are also shown

CODAR Tsunami detection Method

- Calculate radial velocity maps from short-term radar cross spectra
- Radial velocities
 - Partitioned by 2 km strips, parallel to bathy contours
 - Resolved into components parallel & perpendicular depth contour
 - Resolved velocities in strip averaged to create time series (TS) on shore and along shore for each band

(Evaluation of the CODAR tsunami detection algorithm and software, by Roarty et al 2019)

Time series of Onshore and Along shore Velocities at HF Radar Sagres (SGTR)

CODAR Tsunami detection Method

- Pattern recognition algorithm (PRA) applied to TS to detect tsunami waves
- Correlation in adjacent bands in nearby times
- Output of PRA is Qfactor
- Qfactor data derived from Doppler spectra collected every 128 seg

(Evaluation of the CODAR tsunami detection algorithm and software, by Roarty et al 2019)

Operational Challenges and Limitations

• Tsunami current pattern id & analyzed within background currents: Probability of detection Pd fimited by non-tsunami background current field

• Sources of variable random noise and radio interferences: Probability of False alarms *Pfa*

- Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha

Operational Challenges and Limitations

Maximum detection range and warning time (for given radar output power) limited by:

1) tsunami intensity

2) bathymetry profile seaward of coastal radar location

The shallower the continental shelf, the greater the detection distance and warning time

Barrick et al (2016), in IEEE

Relvas & Barton (2002), JGR

HF Radar Sagres

Tsunami detection module output:

• Q-factor:

- Parameter that quantifies the presence of a tsunami wave
- Spikes indicate possible incoming tsunami wave
- Probabilistic index of tsunami occurrence

DetectionOnshore:wavespropagateperpendicularly to the coast

Detection Along shore: waves propagate parallel to the coast

OCASO Project

Sagres HF Radar

• Establish adequate Q-factor threshold

- To issue tsunami warning
- Distinguishable from other events
- Minimizing false alarms
- Simulate possible tsunami scenarios impacting Portuguese Coast and map coastal impact (inundation, run-up, run-in, flow depth)
- Integrate HF radar tsunami detection capability in the Portuguese NTWS

Evaluation of Data for Sagres HF Radar

- Analysis of Qfactor output from Sagres radar station
- Statistics and behaviour of Qfactor
- Determine appropriate threshold for Qfactor tsunami warning, minimizing false alarms

⁻ Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha

1st CONFIG : Sep 2018 – Jul 2019

Strip Orientation (degrees True) : 0° Strip Width: 6 km Number of Strips: 10 *(O to 54 km)* Detection Background Span: 26 minutes

Qfactor Strips: 0 to 30 km

2nd CONFIG: Aug 2019 – present

Strip Orientation (degrees True) : 270°
Strip Width: 2 km
Number of Strips: 12 (0 to 24 km)
Detection Background Span: 26 minutes

Qfactor Strips: 0 to 14 km

1st config

Q-factor values (Set 2018 - Jul 2019) onshore 6000 along-shore 4000 Q-factor 2000 **HF Radar** 0 -**SAGRES** Tsunami Detection -2000 Module Started 2018-10 2018-11 2018-12 2019-01 2019-02 2019-03 2019-04 2019-05 2019-06 2019-01 **Operating in** 10-Set-2018 DT

• Qfactor on shore and along shore values (Set 2018 – Jul 2019)

Qfa	ctor	Value	No Ocurrances	n = 212380
On shore	Maximum	2620	11	$\sigma_{onshore} = 31.4$
	Minimum	-1050	7	$\sigma_{\text{alongshore}} = 29.4$
Along shore	Maximum	2620	14	
	Minimum	-1050	3	

2nd config

19 instances w/ Qfactor > 2000 5 instances w/ Qfactor > 4000

⁻ Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha

HF radar Sagres

• Qfactor on shore and along shore values (Ag 2019 – Nov 2019)

Noise Level at SGTR During Qfactor spike events

SAGRES HF radar Tsunami detection module

EVENT ANALYSIS

Q-factor values (13 -14 Out 2018)

DT

Qfactor spikes (September 2019)

2nd config

Qfactor spikes (4th September 2019)

Qfactor spikes (18th September 2019)

Qfactor vs sistematic occurrences (Set 2019)

Qfactor vs sistematic occurrences (Out 2019)

High Qfactor events associated w/ Medium to high HF noise

Qfactor vs seismic events

• Seismic event / Ref location:

- 1) location (Lat, Lon)
- 2) depth
- 3) Mw
- Δx (Radar, Event location)
- Estimate **TTT** at radar's near field according to SW relation $\mathbf{c} = \sqrt{gh}$
- Qfactor comprised in Δt

Distância (radar - epicentro) = 83.27 km

Tempo chegada máximo estimado = 10.65 minutos

V. Lima "CM1 / GeoClaw-FUNWAVE-TVD" (2017)

Qfactor vs seismic events

For Mw > 3 :

Evento sísmico 16 Julho 2019 13:31 UTC:

Data e hora	Lat	Lon	depth	Mag	Ref	Fonte
16/07/2019 13:31	36.37	-8.01	34	3.9	S Faro	IPMA

Registo HF radar:

250

200

150

50

0

16 23:04

Q-factor 001

Data e hora	Qf onshore	Qf alongshore	
2019-07-16			
13:33:52	225	0	

1613:14

• Dist Radar/Epic ~ 110 km

Evento sísmico em 26 Junho 2019 08:33 UTC:

Data e hora	Lat	Lon	depth	Mag	Ref	Fonte
26/06/2019 08:33	36.16	-8.48	32	3.1	SW Albufeira	IPMA

Registo HF radar:

Dist Radar/Epic ~ 95 km

onshore

2608:53

along-shore

Summary

- CODAR Tsunami detection software functioning at Sagres HF radar station since Set 2018
- Outputs Qfactor spikes which indicate potential tsunami wave (Lipa et al 2016)
- Shallow continental shelf means greater software detection distance and warning time

Summary

• Main Goal: Establish threshold Qfactor value for tsunami alert

• Qfactor 2000 averaged 1 per/week

- 2nd config (Ag 2019 Nov 2019):
 - 19 instances of Qfactor > 2000
 - 5 instances of Qfactor > 4000

Summary

• Noise presence probable contributor false alarms

• Analyze and minimize external noise sources at HF radar Sagres

• To be considered: Several Qfactor thresholds providing alerts for given levels of confidence

• Real time crossing of Qfactor, meteo and seismic events data

OCASO Project Tsunami Arrival Detection with High Frequency (HF) Radar Technology

VÂNIA LIMA & CFR SANTOS FERNANDES

Vania.lima@hidrografico.pt

Oceanography Division – Instituto Hidrográfico, Marinha

hidrográfico marinha-portugal

cember 2019

